Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/839,289

David B. Fick et al

Examiner

Art Unit
Sudhaker Patel 1

1624

Ш	I					Ш		
	H				Ш	Ш		H
Ш	Ш	Ш	и	lili	Ш	Ш	Ш	M

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO per	
(1) Sudhaker Patel	(3) Mr. Louis Cullman
(2)	(4)
Date of Interview Oct 17, 2002	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2)	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	Mo. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: Claims of the application	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) vas reached. g)	X as not reached. h) N /A .
outer comments.	ture of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any
Examiner initiated the discussion. Applicants were informed at	bout the first Office Action on merits sent to them wide paper # 5
<u>dated 3/13/02. Applicants pointed out that due to change in ac Examiner suggested that a copy of the earlier Office Action pa</u>	per # 5 will be sent to them to update their files.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amen summary thereof must be attached.)	nts which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if dments that would render the claims allowable is available, a
i) 🔀 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPE already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required